

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/604,030	06/23/2003	Stephen FLORENCE	45283.85	1029	
22828	7590 10/28/2005		EXAMINER		
EDWARD	YOO C/O BENNETT	KALAFUT, STEPHEN J			
1000 ATCO 10035 - ,105			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
EDMONTON, ALBERTA, AB T5J3T2			1745		
CANADA				DATE MAILED: 10/28/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			0			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	<del> /-</del>			
Office Action Summany	10/604,030	FLORENCE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Stephen J. Kalafut	1745				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	••			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communic D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
<u> </u>	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar		secution as to the merit	ts is			
closed in accordance with the practice under E						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8-14</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by the E	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	21(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152	2.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.					
<ol><li>Certified copies of the priority documents</li></ol>	have been received in Application	on No				
<ol><li>Copies of the certified copies of the prior</li></ol>	ity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage	•			
application from the International Bureau	* **					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	d.				
·						
Attachment(s)	. —					
1) Motice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date (2 dates).		atent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 1745

Claims 2-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The claims are unclear as to whether they require the fuel cell to be present. The term "fuel cell stack enclosure" implies that the enclosure is claimed per se, without the fuel cell, but the claim 2 recites that the "inner chamber is adjacent the fuel cell stack", which is a locational relationship between the enclosure and a fuel cell.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-3 and 5-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Vu et al. (US 6,794,074).

Vu et al. disclose an enclosure (22) that contains two metal-air cells (40), which are a type of fuel cell due to their consumption of air. Vu et al. thus disclose a fuel cell stack enclosure. The enclosure includes an outer chamber (between outer wall 22 and housing 36), an intermediate chamber (41) and an inner chamber (60) that contains the metal-air cells. Air flows through an opening (58) into the outer chamber, through a second opening (47) into intermediate chamber, then through a third opening (54) into the inner chamber, and finally into the stack of metal-air cells. Although most of the walls of the enclosure are curved (figure 5), the top and

bottom walls are flat (figure 4), and would thus comprise "at least two substantially planar sections". Because no solid material has infinite thermal conductivity, the walls separating the chambers would constitute insulating layers. The enclosure also comprises a fan (50) that sends the reactant oxygen to the cells, whose speed is variably controlled (column 9, lines 13-18).

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vu et al.

This claim differs from Vu et al. by reciting that the enclosure has at least three flat sections. However, because cells may exhibit a variety of shapes such as round and prismatic, it would be obvious to shape any housing enclosing such cells to be compatible therewith. An enclosure for prismatic cells would have at least three planar sections. For this reason, this claims is considered obvious over Vu et al.

Claims 8-14 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The prior art, cited either herein or by applicants, does not disclose a fuel cell housing with at least three concentric shells; two chambers defined thereby; and intake, crossover and outlet ports through which reactant passes through the chambers into the interior.

Page 4

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Evans et al. (US 6,843,311) disclose a stack of plate-type heat exchangers.

Redenbach (US 3,096,255) discloses a spiral heat exchanger. Champion (US 2005/0014054) discloses a fuel cell with a helical reactant flow path.

Claim 8 is objected to because of the following informalities: A space needs to be placed between each letter in parentheses, such as "(a)", and the immediately following text.

Appropriate correction is required.

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: The space between each letter in parentheses and the text following each is also needed in sections 0012 through 0016 of the specification. Appropriate correction is required.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen J. Kalafut whose telephone number is 571-272-1286. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:00 am-4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick J. Ryan can be reached on 571-272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/604,030

Art Unit: 1745

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

sjk

CTEPHEN KALAFUT FRIMARY EXAMINER Page 5